policies that are sufficient for modeling of their transit ridership. Additions and modifications to the transportation network shall be described sufficiently to show that there is a reasonable relationship between expected land use and the envisioned transportation system; and

- (iii) Other future transportation policies, requirements, services, and activities, including intermodal activities, shall be described.
- (b) Two-year grace period for transportation plan requirements in certain ozone and CO areas. The requirements of paragraph (a) of this section apply to such areas or portions of such areas that have previously not been required to meet these requirements for any existing NAAQS two years from the following:
- (1) The effective date of EPA's reclassification of an ozone or CO nonattainment area that has an urbanized area population greater than 200,000 to serious or above;
- (2) The official notice by the Census Bureau that determines the urbanized area population of a serious or above ozone or CO nonattainment area to be greater than 200,000; or,
- (3) The effective date of EPA's action that classifies a newly designated ozone or CO nonattainment area that has an urbanized area population greater than 200,000 as serious or above.
- (c) Transportation plans for other areas. Transportation plans for other areas must meet the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section at least to the extent it has been the previous practice of the MPO to prepare plans which meet those requirements. Otherwise, the transportation system envisioned for the future must be sufficiently described within the transportation plans so that a conformity determination can be made according to the criteria and procedures of §§93.109 through 93.119.
- (d) Savings. The requirements of this section supplement other requirements of applicable law or regulation governing the format or content of transportation plans.

[62 FR 43801, Aug. 15, 1997, as amended at 69 FR 40073, July 1, 2004]

§93.107 Relationship of transportation plan and TIP conformity with the NEPA process.

The degree of specificity required in the transportation plan and the specific travel network assumed for air quality modeling do not preclude the consideration of alternatives in the NEPA process or other project development studies. Should the NEPA process result in a project with design concept and scope significantly different from that in the transportation plan or TIP, the project must meet the criteria in \$\frac{8}{2}\frac{9}{2}\frac{109}{2}\frac{109}{2}\frac{119}{2}\frac{109}{2}\frac{119}{2}\frac{119}{2}\frac{109}{2}\frac{119}{2}\frac{109}{2}\frac{119}{2}\frac{109}{2}\frac{119}{2}\frac{109}{2}\frac{119}{2}\frac{109}{2}\frac{119}{2}\frac{109}{2}\frac{119}{2}\frac{109}{2}\frac{119}{2}\frac{109}{2}\frac{119}{2}\frac{109}{2}\frac{119}{2}\frac{109}{2}\frac{119}{2}\frac{109}{2}\frac{119}{2}\frac{109}{2}\frac{119}{2}\frac{109}{2}\frac{109}{2}\frac{119}{2}\frac{10

§ 93.108 Fiscal constraints for transportation plans and TIPs.

Transportation plans and TIPs must be fiscally constrained consistent with DOT's metropolitan planning regulations at 23 CFR part 450 in order to be found in conformity.

§93.109 Criteria and procedures for determining conformity of transportation plans, programs, and projects: General.

- (a) In order for each transportation plan, program, and FHWA/FTA project to be found to conform, the MPO and DOT must demonstrate that the applicable criteria and procedures in this subpart are satisfied, and the MPO and DOT must comply with all applicable conformity requirements of implementation plans and of court orders for the area which pertain specifically to conformity. The criteria for making conformity determinations differ based on the action under review (transportation plans, TIPs, and FHWA/FTA projects), the relevant pollutant(s), and the status of the implementation plan.
- (b) Table 1 in this paragraph indicates the criteria and procedures in §§93.110 through 93.119 which apply for transportation plans, TIPs, and FHWA/FTA projects. Paragraphs (c) through (i) of this section explain when the budget, interim emissions, and hotspot tests are required for each pollutant and NAAQS. Paragraph (j) of this section addresses conformity requirements for areas with approved or adequate limited maintenance plans. Paragraph (k) of this section addresses

nonattainment and maintenance areas which EPA has determined have insignificant motor vehicle emissions. Paragraph (1) of this section addresses isolated rural nonattainment and maintenance areas. Table 1 follows:

TABLE 1—CONFORMITY CRITERIA

All Actions at all times:	
§ 93.110	Latest planning assumptions
§ 93.111	Latest emissions model
§ 93.112	Consultation
Transportation Plan:	
§ 93.113(b)	TCMs
§ 93.118 or § 93.119	Emissions budget and/or Interim emissions
TIP:	
§ 93.113(c)	TCMs
§93.118 or §93.119	Emissions budget and/or Interim emissions
Project (From a Con-	
forming Plan and TIP):	
§ 93.114	Currently conforming plan and TIP
§ 93.115	Project from a conforming plan and TIP
§ 93.116	CO, PM ₁₀ , and PM _{2.5} hot-spots.
§ 93.117	PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5} control measures
Project (Not From a Con-	
forming Plan and TIP):	
§ 93.113(d)	TCMs
§ 93.114	Currently conforming plan and TIP
§ 93.116	CO, PM ₁₀ , and PM _{2.5} hot-spots.
§93.117	PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5} control measures
§ 93.118 and/or § 93.119	Emissions budget and/or Interim emissions

- (c) 1-hour ozone NAAQS nonattainment and maintenance areas. This paragraph applies when an area is nonattainment or maintenance for the 1-hour ozone NAAQS (i.e., until the effective date of any revocation of the 1-hour ozone NAAQS for an area). In addition to the criteria listed in Table 1 in paragraph (b) of this section that are required to be satisfied at all times, in such ozone nonattainment and maintenance areas conformity determinations must include a demonstration that the budget and/or interim emissions tests are satisfied as described in the following:
- (1) In all 1-hour ozone nonattainment and maintenance areas the budget test must be satisfied as required by §93.118 for conformity determinations made on or after:
- (i) The effective date of EPA's finding that a motor vehicle emissions budget in a submitted control strategy implementation plan revision or main-

tenance plan for the 1-hour ozone NAAQS is adequate for transportation conformity purposes;

- (ii) The publication date of EPA's approval of such a budget in the FEDERAL REGISTER: or
- (iii) The effective date of EPA's approval of such a budget in the FEDERAL REGISTER, if such approval is completed through direct final rulemaking.
- (2) In ozone nonattainment areas that are required to submit a control strategy implementation plan revision for the 1-hour ozone NAAQS (usually moderate and above areas), the interim emissions tests must be satisfied as required by §93.119 for conformity determinations made when there is no approved motor vehicle emissions budget from an applicable implementation plan for the 1-hour ozone NAAQS and no adequate motor vehicle emissions budget from a submitted control strategy implementation plan revision or maintenance plan for the 1-hour ozone NAAQS.
- (3) An ozone nonattainment area must satisfy the interim emissions test for NO_x, as required by §93.119, if the implementation plan or plan submission that is applicable for the purposes of conformity determinations is a 15% plan or Phase I attainment demonstration that does not include a motor vehicle emissions budget for NO_X. The implementation plan for the 1-hour ozone NAAQS will be considered to establish a motor vehicle emissions budget for NO_X if the implementation plan or plan submission contains an explicit NO_x motor vehicle emissions budget that is intended to act as a ceiling on future NOx emissions, and the NO_X motor vehicle emissions budget is a net reduction from NO_X emissions levels in 1990.
- (4) Ozone nonattainment areas that have not submitted a maintenance plan and that are not required to submit a control strategy implementation plan revision for the 1-hour ozone NAAQS (usually marginal and below areas) must satisfy one of the following requirements:
- (i) The interim emissions tests required by §93.119; or
- (ii) The State shall submit to EPA an implementation plan revision for the 1-hour ozone NAAQS that contains

motor vehicle emissions budget(s) and a reasonable further progress or attainment demonstration, and the budget test required by §93.118 must be satisfied using the adequate or approved motor vehicle emissions budget(s) (as described in paragraph (c)(1) of this section).

- (5) Notwithstanding paragraphs (c)(1) and (c)(2) of this section, moderate and above ozone nonattainment areas with three years of clean data for the 1-hour ozone NAAQS that have not submitted a maintenance plan and that EPA has determined are not subject to the Clean Air Act reasonable further progress and attainment demonstration requirements for the 1-hour ozone NAAQS must satisfy one of the following requirements:
- (i) The interim emissions tests as required by §93.119;
- (ii) The budget test as required by §93.118, using the adequate or approved motor vehicle emissions budgets in the submitted or applicable control strategy implementation plan for the 1-hourozone NAAQS (subject to the timing requirements of paragraph (c)(1) of this section); or
- (iii) The budget test as required by §93.118, using the motor vehicle emissions of ozone precursors in the most recent year of clean data as motor vehicle emissions budgets, if such budgets are established by the EPA rulemaking that determines that the area has clean data for the 1-hour ozone NAAOS.
- (d) 8-hour ozone NAAQS nonattainment and maintenance areas without motor vehicle emissions budgets for the 1-hour ozone NAAQS for any portion of the 8hour nonattainment area. This paragraph applies to areas that were never designated nonattainment for the 1hour ozone NAAQS and areas that were designated nonattainment for the 1hour ozone NAAQS but that never submitted a control strategy SIP or maintenance plan with approved or adequate motor vehicle emissions budgets. This paragraph applies 1 year after the effective date of EPA's nonattainment designation for the 8-hour ozone NAAQS for an area, according to §93.102(d). In addition to the criteria listed in Table 1 in paragraph (b) of this section that are required to be sat-

isfied at all times, in such 8-hour ozone nonattainment and maintenance areas conformity determinations must include a demonstration that the budget and/or interim emissions tests are satisfied as described in the following:

- (1) In such 8-hour ozone nonattainment and maintenance areas the budget test must be satisfied as required by §93.118 for conformity determinations made on or after:
- (i) The effective date of EPA's finding that a motor vehicle emissions budget in a submitted control strategy implementation plan revision or maintenance plan for the 8-hour ozone NAAQS is adequate for transportation conformity purposes:
- (ii) The publication date of EPA's approval of such a budget in the FEDERAL REGISTER: or
- (iii) The effective date of EPA's approval of such a budget in the FEDERAL REGISTER, if such approval is completed through direct final rulemaking.
- (2) In ozone nonattainment areas that are required to submit a control strategy implementation plan revision for the 8-hour ozone NAAQS (usually moderate and above and certain Clean Air Act, part D, subpart 1 areas), the interim emissions tests must be satisfied as required by §93.119 for conformity determinations made when there is no approved motor vehicle emissions budget from an applicable implementation plan for the 8-hour ozone NAAQS and no adequate motor vehicle emissions budget from a submitted control strategy implementation plan revision or maintenance plan for the 8-hour ozone NAAQS.
- (3) Such an 8-hour ozone nonattainment area must satisfy the interim emissions test for NO_X, as required by §93.119, if the implementation plan or plan submission that is applicable for the purposes of conformity determinations is a 15% plan or other control strategy SIP that addresses reasonable further progress that does not include a motor vehicle emissions budget for NO_X. The implementation plan for the 8-hour ozone NAAQS will be considered to establish a motor vehicle emissions budget for NO_X if the implementation plan or plan submission contains an explicit NO_X motor vehicle emissions

budget that is intended to act as a ceiling on future NO_X emissions, and the NO_X motor vehicle emissions budget is a net reduction from NO_X emissions levels in 2002.

- (4) Ozone nonattainment areas that have not submitted a maintenance plan and that are not required to submit a control strategy implementation plan revision for the 8-hour ozone NAAQS (usually marginal and certain Clean Air Act, part D, subpart 1 areas) must satisfy one of the following requirements:
- (i) The interim emissions tests required by §93.119; or
- (ii) The State shall submit to EPA an implementation plan revision for the 8-hour ozone NAAQS that contains motor vehicle emissions budget(s) and a reasonable further progress or attainment demonstration, and the budget test required by \$93.118 must be satisfied using the adequate or approved motor vehicle emissions budget(s) (as described in paragraph (d)(1) of this section).
- (5) Notwithstanding paragraphs (d)(1) and (d)(2) of this section, ozone nonattainment areas with three years of clean data for the 8-hour ozone NAAQS that have not submitted a maintenance plan and that EPA has determined are not subject to the Clean Air Act reasonable further progress and attainment demonstration requirements for the 8-hour ozone NAAQS must satisfy one of the following requirements:
- (i) The interim emissions tests as required by §93.119;
- (ii) The budget test as required by §93.118, using the adequate or approved motor vehicle emissions budgets in the submitted or applicable control strategy implementation plan for the 8-hour ozone NAAQS (subject to the timing requirements of paragraph (d)(1) of this section); or
- (iii) The budget test as required by §93.118, using the motor vehicle emissions of ozone precursors in the most recent year of clean data as motor vehicle emissions budgets, if such budgets are established by the EPA rulemaking that determines that the area has clean data for the 8-hour ozone NAAQS.
- (e) 8-hour ozone NAAQS nonattainment and maintenance areas with motor vehicle

emissions budgets for the 1-hour ozone NAAQS that cover all or a portion of the 8-hour nonattainment area. This provision applies 1 year after the effective date of EPA's nonattainment designation for the 8-hour ozone NAAQS for an area, according to §93.102(d). In addition to the criteria listed in Table 1 in paragraph (b) of this section that are required to be satisfied at all times, in such 8-hour ozone nonattainment and maintenance areas conformity determinations must include a demonstration that the budget and/or interim emissions tests are satisfied as described in the following:

- (1) In such 8-hour ozone nonattainment and maintenance areas the budget test must be satisfied as required by §93.118 for conformity determinations made on or after:
- (i) The effective date of EPA's finding that a motor vehicle emissions budget in a submitted control strategy implementation plan revision or maintenance plan for the 8-hour ozone NAAQS is adequate for transportation conformity purposes;
- (ii) The publication date of EPA's approval of such a budget in the FEDERAL REGISTER; or
- (iii) The effective date of EPA's approval of such a budget in the FEDERAL REGISTER, if such approval is completed through direct final rulemaking.
- (2) Prior to paragraph (e)(1) of this section applying, the following test(s) must be satisfied, subject to the exception in paragraph (e)(2)(v):
- (i) If the 8-hour ozone nonattainment area covers the same geographic area as the 1-hour ozone nonattainment or maintenance area(s), the budget test as required by §93.118 using the approved or adequate motor vehicle emissions budgets in the 1-hour ozone applicable implementation plan or implementation plan submission;
- (ii) If the 8-hour ozone nonattainment area covers a smaller geographic area within the 1-hour ozone nonattainment or maintenance area(s), the budget test as required by §93.118 for either:
- (A) The 8-hour nonattainment area using corresponding portion(s) of the approved or adequate motor vehicle emissions budgets in the 1-hour ozone

applicable implementation plan or implementation plan submission where such portion(s) can reasonably be identified through the interagency consultation process required by \$93.105; or

- (B) The 1-hour nonattainment area using the approved or adequate motor vehicle emissions budgets in the 1-hour ozone applicable implementation plan or implementation plan submission. If additional emissions reductions are necessary to meet the budget test for the 8-hour ozone NAAQS in such cases, these emissions reductions must come from within the 8-hour nonattainment area:
- (iii) If the 8-hour ozone nonattainment area covers a larger geographic area and encompasses the entire 1-hour ozone nonattainment or maintenance area(s):
- (A) The budget test as required by §93.118 for the portion of the 8-hour ozone nonattainment area covered by the approved or adequate motor vehicle emissions budgets in the 1-hour ozone applicable implementation plan or implementation plan submission; and
- (B) The interim emissions tests as required by §93.119 for either: the portion of the 8-hour ozone nonattainment area not covered by the approved or adequate budgets in the 1-hour ozone implementation plan, the entire 8-hour ozone nonattainment area, or the entire portion of the 8-hour ozone nonattainment area within an individual state, in the case where separate 1-hour SIP budgets are established for each state of a multi-state 1-hour nonattainment or maintenance area:
- (iv) If the 8-hour ozone nonattainment area partially covers a 1-hour ozone nonattainment or maintenance area(s):
- (A) The budget test as required by §93.118 for the portion of the 8-hour ozone nonattainment area covered by the corresponding portion of the approved or adequate motor vehicle emissions budgets in the 1-hour ozone applicable implementation plan or implementation plan submission where they can be reasonably identified through the interagency consultation process required by §93.105; and
- (B) The interim emissions tests as required by §93.119, when applicable, for

either: the portion of the 8-hour ozone nonattainment area not covered by the approved or adequate budgets in the 1-hour ozone implementation plan, the entire 8-hour ozone nonattainment area, or the entire portion of the 8-hour ozone nonattainment area within an individual state, in the case where separate 1-hour SIP budgets are established for each state in a multi-state 1-hour nonattainment or maintenance area.

- Notwithstanding paragraphs (v)(e)(2)(i), (ii), (iii), or (iv) of this section, the interim emissions tests as required by §93.119, where the budget test using the approved or adequate motor vehicle emissions budgets in the 1-hour ozone applicable implementation plan(s) or implementation plan submission(s) for the relevant area or portion thereof is not the appropriate test and the interim emissions tests are more appropriate to ensure that the transportation plan, TIP, or project not from a conforming plan and TIP will not create new violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the 8-hour ozone standard, as determined through the interagency consultation process required § 93.105.
- (3) Such an 8-hour ozone nonattainment area must satisfy the interim emissions test for NOx, as required by §93.119, if the only implementation plan or plan submission that is applicable for the purposes of conformity determinations is a 15% plan or other control strategy SIP that addresses reasonable further progress that does not include a motor vehicle emissions budget for NO_x. The implementation plan for the 8-hour ozone NAAQS will be considered to establish a motor vehicle emissions budget for NOx if the implementation plan or plan submission contains an explicit NO_X motor vehicle emissions budget that is intended to act as a ceiling on future NO_X emissions, and the NO_X motor vehicle emissions budget is a net reduction from NO_X emissions levels in 2002. Prior to an adequate or approved NO_X motor vehicle emissions budget in the implementation plan submission for the 8hour ozone NAAQS, the implementation plan for the 1-hour ozone NAAQS will be considered to establish a motor

vehicle emissions budget for NO_X if the implementation plan contains an explicit NO_X motor vehicle emissions budget that is intended to act as a ceiling on future NO_X emissions, and the NO_X motor vehicle emissions budget is a net reduction from NO_X emissions levels in 1990.

- (4) Notwithstanding paragraphs (e)(1) and (e)(2) of this section, ozone nonattainment areas with three years of clean data for the 8-hour ozone NAAQS that have not submitted a maintenance plan and that EPA has determined are not subject to the Clean Air Act reasonable further progress and attainment demonstration requirements for the 8-hour ozone NAAQS must satisfy one of the following requirements:
- (i) The budget test and/or interim emissions tests as required by §§93.118 and 93.119 and as described in paragraph (e)(2) of this section;
- (ii) The budget test as required by §93.118, using the adequate or approved motor vehicle emissions budgets in the submitted or applicable control strategy implementation plan for the 8-hour ozone NAAQS (subject to the timing requirements of paragraph (e)(1) of this section); or
- (iii) The budget test as required by §93.118, using the motor vehicle emissions of ozone precursors in the most recent year of clean data as motor vehicle emissions budgets, if such budgets are established by the EPA rulemaking that determines that the area has clean data for the 8-hour ozone NAAOS.
- (f) CO nonattainment and maintenance areas. In addition to the criteria listed in Table 1 in paragraph (b) of this section that are required to be satisfied at all times, in CO nonattainment and maintenance areas conformity determinations must include a demonstration that the hot-spot, budget and/or interim emissions tests are satisfied as described in the following:
- (1) FHWA/FTA projects in CO nonattainment or maintenance areas must satisfy the hot spot test required by §93.116(a) at all times. Until a CO attainment demonstration or maintenance plan is approved by EPA, FHWA/ FTA projects must also satisfy the hot spot test required by §93.116(b).

- (2) In CO nonattainment and maintenance areas the budget test must be satisfied as required by §93.118 for conformity determinations made on or after:
- (i) The effective date of EPA's finding that a motor vehicle emissions budget in a submitted control strategy implementation plan revision or maintenance plan is adequate for transportation conformity purposes;
- (ii) The publication date of EPA's approval of such a budget in the FEDERAL REGISTER; or
- (iii) The effective date of EPA's approval of such a budget in the FEDERAL REGISTER, if such approval is completed through direct final rulemaking.
- (3) Except as provided in paragraph (f)(4) of this section, in CO nonattainment areas the interim emissions tests must be satisfied as required by §93.119 for conformity determinations made when there is no approved motor vehicle emissions budget from an applicable implementation plan and no adequate motor vehicle emissions budget from a submitted control strategy implementation plan revision or maintenance plan.
- (4) CO nonattainment areas that have not submitted a maintenance plan and that are not required to submit an attainment demonstration (e.g., moderate CO areas with a design value of 12.7 ppm or less or not classified CO areas) must satisfy one of the following requirements:
- (i) The interim emissions tests required by §93.119; or
- (ii) The State shall submit to EPA an implementation plan revision that contains motor vehicle emissions budget(s) and an attainment demonstration, and the budget test required by §93.118 must be satisfied using the adequate or approved motor vehicle emissions budget(s) (as described in paragraph (f)(2) of this section).
- (g) PM_{10} nonattainment and maintenance areas. In addition to the criteria listed in Table 1 in paragraph (b) of this section that are required to be satisfied at all times, in PM_{10} nonattainment and maintenance areas conformity determinations must include a demonstration that the hot-spot, budget and/or interim emissions tests are satisfied as described in the following:

- (1) FHWA/FTA projects in PM₁₀ nonattainment or maintenance areas must satisfy the hot spot test required by \$93.116(a).
- (2) In PM₁₀ nonattainment and maintenance areas the budget test must be satisfied as required by §93.118 for conformity determinations made on or after:
- (i) The effective date of EPA's finding that a motor vehicle emissions budget in a submitted control strategy implementation plan revision or maintenance plan is adequate for transportation conformity purposes;
- (ii) The publication date of EPA's approval of such a budget in the FEDERAL REGISTER; or
- (iii) The effective date of EPA's approval of such a budget in the FEDERAL REGISTER, if such approval is completed through direct final rulemaking.
- (3) In PM₁₀ nonattainment areas the interim emissions tests must be satisfied as required by §93.119 for conformity determinations made:
- (i) If there is no approved motor vehicle emissions budget from an applicable implementation plan and no adequate motor vehicle emissions budget from a submitted control strategy implementation plan revision or maintenance plan; or
- (ii) If the submitted implementation plan revision is a demonstration of impracticability under CAA section 189(a)(1)(B)(ii) and does not demonstrate attainment.
- (h) NO_2 nonattainment and maintenance areas. In addition to the criteria listed in Table 1 in paragraph (b) of this section that are required to be satisfied at all times, in NO_2 nonattainment and maintenance areas conformity determinations must include a demonstration that the budget and/or interim emissions tests are satisfied as described in the following:
- (1) In NO₂ nonattainment and maintenance areas the budget test must be satisfied as required by §93.118 for conformity determinations made on or after:
- (i) The effective date of EPA's finding that a motor vehicle emissions budget in a submitted control strategy implementation plan revision or maintenance plan is adequate for transportation conformity purposes;

- (ii) The publication date of EPA's approval of such a budget in the FEDERAL REGISTER: or
- (iii) The effective date of EPA's approval of such a budget in the FEDERAL REGISTER, if such approval is completed through direct final rulemaking.
- (2) In NO_2 nonattainment areas the interim emissions tests must be satisfied as required by §93.119 for conformity determinations made when there is no approved motor vehicle emissions budget from an applicable implementation plan and no adequate motor vehicle emissions budget from a submitted control strategy implementation plan revision or maintenance plan.
- (i) *PM* _{2.5} nonattainment and maintenance areas. In addition to the criteria listed in Table 1 in paragraph (b) of this section that are required to be satisfied at all times, in PM_{2.5} nonattainment and maintenance areas conformity determinations must include a demonstration that the budget and/or interim emissions tests are satisfied as described in the following:
- (1) FHWA/FTA projects in PM_{2.5} non-attainment or maintenance areas must satisfy the appropriate hot-spot test required by §93.116(a).
- (2) In $PM_{2.5}$ nonattainment and maintenance areas the budget test must be satisfied as required by §93.118 for conformity determinations made on or after:
- (i) The effective date of EPA's finding that a motor vehicle emissions budget in a submitted control strategy implementation plan revision or maintenance plan is adequate for transportation conformity purposes;
- (ii) The publication date of EPA's approval of such a budget in the FEDERAL REGISTER; or
- (iii) The effective date of EPA's approval of such a budget in the FEDERAL REGISTER, if such approval is completed through direct final rulemaking.
- (3) In PM_{2.5} nonattainment areas the interim emissions tests must be satisfied as required by §93.119 for conformity determinations made if there is no approved motor vehicle emissions budget from an applicable implementation plan and no adequate motor vehicle emissions budget from a submitted

control strategy implementation plan revision or maintenance plan.

(j) Areas with limited maintenance plans. Notwithstanding the other paragraphs of this section, an area is not required to satisfy the regional emissions analysis for §93.118 and/or §93.119 for a given pollutant and NAAQS, if the area has an adequate or approved limited maintenance plan for such pollutant and NAAQS. A limited maintenance plan would have to demonstrate that it would be unreasonable to expect that such an area would experience enough motor vehicle emissions growth for a NAAQS violation to occur. A conformity determination that meets other applicable criteria in Table 1 of paragraph (b) of this section is still required, including the hot-spot requirements for projects in CO, PM10, and $PM_{2.5}$ areas.

(k) Areas with insignificant motor vehicle emissions. Notwithstanding the other paragraphs in this section, an area is not required to satisfy a regional emissions analysis for §93.118 and/or §93.119 for a given pollutant/precursor and NAAQS, if EPA finds through the adequacy or approval process that a SIP demonstrates that regional motor vehicle emissions are an insignificant contributor to the air quality problem for that pollutant/precursor and NAAQS. The SIP would have to demonstrate that it would be unreasonable to expect that such an area would experience enough motor vehicle emissions growth in that pollutant/precursor for a NAAQS violation to occur. Such a finding would be based on a number of factors, including the percentage of motor vehicle emissions in the context of the total SIP inventory, the current state of air quality as determined by monitoring data for that NAAQS, the absence of SIP motor vehicle control measures, and historical trends and future projections of the growth of motor vehicle emissions. A conformity determination that meets other applicable criteria in Table 1 of paragraph (b) of this section is still required, including regional emissions analyses for §93.118 and/or §93.119 for other pollutants/precursors and NAAQS that apply. Hot-spot requirements for projects in CO, PM₁₀, and $PM_{2.5}$ areas in §93.116 must also be

satisfied, unless EPA determines that the SIP also demonstrates that projects will not create new localized violations and/or increase the severity or number of existing violations of such NAAQS. If EPA subsequently finds that motor vehicle emissions of a given pollutant/precursor are significant, this paragraph would no longer apply for future conformity determinations for that pollutant/precursor and NAAQS.

- (1) Isolated rural nonattainment and maintenance areas. This paragraph applies to any nonattainment or maintenance area (or portion thereof) which does not have a metropolitan transportation plan or TIP and whose projects are not part of the emissions analysis of any MPO's metropolitan transportation plan or TIP. This paragraph does not apply to "donut" areas which are outside the metropolitan planning boundary and inside the nonattainment/maintenance area boundary.
- (1) FHWA/FTA projects in all isolated rural nonattainment and maintenance areas must satisfy the requirements of §§93.110, 93.111, 93.112, 93.113(d), 93.116, and 93.117. Until EPA approves the control strategy implementation plan or maintenance plan for a rural CO nonattainment or maintenance area, FHWA/FTA projects must also satisfy the requirements of §93.116(b) ("Localized CO, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} violations (hot spots)").
- (2) Isolated rural nonattainment and maintenance areas are subject to the budget and/or interim emissions tests as described in paragraphs (c) through (k) of this section, with the following modifications:
- (i) When the requirements of §§93.118 and 93.119 apply to isolated rural non-attainment and maintenance areas, references to "transportation plan" or "TIP" should be taken to mean those projects in the statewide transportation plan or statewide TIP which are in the rural nonattainment or maintenance area.
- (ii) In isolated rural nonattainment and maintenance areas that are subject to §93.118, FHWA/FTA projects must be consistent with motor vehicle emissions budget(s) for the years in the timeframe of the attainment demonstration or maintenance plan. For

years after the attainment year (if a maintenance plan has not been submitted) or after the last year of the maintenance plan, FHWA/FTA projects must satisfy one of the following requirements:

- (A) § 93.118:
- (B) §93.119 (including regional emissions analysis for NO_X in all ozone nonattainment and maintenance areas, notwithstanding §93.119(f)(2)); or
- (C) As demonstrated by the air quality dispersion model or other air quality modeling technique used in the attainment demonstration or maintenance plan, the FHWA/FTA project, in combination with all other regionally significant projects expected in the area in the timeframe of the statewide transportation plan, must not cause or contribute to any new violation of any standard in any areas; increase the frequency or severity of any existing violation of any standard in any area; or delay timely attainment of any standard or any required interim emission reductions or other milestones in any area. Control measures assumed in the analysis must be enforceable.
- (iii) The choice of requirements in paragraph (1)(2)(ii) of this section and the methodology used to meet the requirements of paragraph (1)(2)(ii)(C) of this section must be determined through the interagency consultation process required in §93.105(c)(1)(vii) through which the relevant recipients of title 23 U.S.C. or Federal Transit Laws funds, the local air quality agency, the State air quality agency, and the State department of transportation should reach consensus about the option and methodology selected. EPA and DOT must be consulted through this process as well. In the event of unresolved disputes, conflicts may be escalated to the Governor consistent with the procedure in §93.105(d), which applies for any State air agency comments on a conformity determination.

[62 FR 43801, Aug. 15, 1997, as amended at 69 FR 40093, July 1, 2004; 71 FR 12510, Mar. 10, 20061

§ 93.110 Criteria and procedures: Latest planning assumptions.

(a) Except as provided in this paragraph, the conformity determination, with respect to all other applicable cri-

teria in §§ 93.111 through 93.119, must be based upon the most recent planning assumptions in force at the time the conformity analysis begins. The conformity determination must satisfy the requirements of paragraphs (b) through (f) of this section using the planning assumptions available at the time the conformity analysis begins as determined through the interagency conprocess sultation required in §93.105(c)(1)(i). The "time the conformity analysis begins" for a transportation plan or TIP determination is the point at which the MPO or other designated agency begins to model the impact of the proposed transportation plan or TIP on travel and/or emissions. New data that becomes available after an analysis begins is required to be used in the conformity determination only if a significant delay in the analysis has occurred, as determined through interagency consultation.

- (b) Assumptions must be derived from the estimates of current and future population, employment, travel, and congestion most recently developed by the MPO or other agency authorized to make such estimates and approved by the MPO. The conformity determination must also be based on the latest assumptions about current and future background concentrations.
- (c) The conformity determination for each transportation plan and TIP must discuss how transit operating policies (including fares and service levels) and assumed transit ridership have changed since the previous conformity determination.
- (d) The conformity determination must include reasonable assumptions about transit service and increases in transit fares and road and bridge tolls over time.
- (e) The conformity determination must use the latest existing information regarding the effectiveness of the TCMs and other implementation plan measures which have already been implemented.
- (f) Key assumptions shall be specified and included in the draft documents and supporting materials used for the interagency and public consultation required by §93.105.

[62 FR 43801, Aug. 15, 1997, as amended at 69 FR 40077, July 1, 2004]